

Re: Response to the South Tyneside Council's comments to the Neighbourhood Plan.

- b) ST- In terms of the Policy Explanation, NWL confirm that they have invested in the upgrading network (following the ruling from the Court of Justice of the European Union in 2012) within the Whitburn and Roker area and maintain there are no

any other plans to upgrade the network in the area.

t

t ^ z } μ Z À Æ] • v μ u Æ } (} v Æ
} μ š š Z } % Æ š } Æ With the conditions of the permit. We are working
with NWL to regularise that situation and we will continue to engage with the
community through the Whitburn Neighbourhood Forum and encourage the
operator to do the same. In relation to the adequacy of the permit, we are awaiting
the judgement of the ECJ, and we will review and if necessary update the permit as a
result of the judgement.

t

t ^ Y Á Á] o o Æ À] Á v] (v • • Æ Ç μ % š š Z

t ^ d Z š] • À Æ Ç % μ ì ò] v P š Z š š Z Ç Æ (Æ š
judgement from the European Court. I am really not sure what they mean. Our power
to refer the UK to Court and request is under Treaty (Article 260 of the treaty on
the Functioning of the European Union) no longer applies to the UK so we are limited
in our powers. The case remains open so we will continue to discuss but I would
suggest that you raise this with the new Ofwat

t t

^ • £ • μ o š } (š Z] • % determine that the actual costs reasonably occurred in meeting the requirements of the requisition total £558,400 (i.e. 85% of the total cost incurred of £1,127,734.59). Recalculating the final amount now payable by Barrett Homes (in Line with section 9(2) of the Act) to reflect this cost we determine that the requisition charge Barrett Homes should pay is

o iμ•š } ÁZ š šZ Ç Á vš vÇÁ Ç_ v Ç}μŒ }uu vš•^{šZ š ^}